FILED CLERK

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12/9/2021 12:38 pm
1	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	CECIL THOMAS et al, LONG ISLAND OFFICE
3	Plaintiffs, Case #2:13-cv-02789-SIL United States Courthouse
4	v. Central Islip, New York April 19, 2021
5	Defendants.
6	TRANSCRIPT FOR CIVIL CAUSE
7	- STATUS CONFERENCE - BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEVEN I. LOCKE
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE-JUDGE
9	- APPEARANCES-
10	For Plaintiff: DANIEL MAIMON KIRSCHENBAUM, ESQ. Joseph & Kirschenbaum LLP
11	233 Broadway, 5 th Floor New York, New York 10279
12	(212) 688-5640; (212) 688-2548 fax maimon@jhllp.com
13	For Plaintiff: DENISE ANDREA SCHULMAN, ESQ.
14	Joseph, Herzfeld, Hester & Kirschenbaum LLP
15	32 Broadway, Suite 601 New York, New York 10004
16	(212) 688-5640; (212) 688-2548 fax denise@jhllp.com
17	For Defendants: JEFFREY W. PAGANO, ESQ.
18	Twomey Latham Shea Kelley Dubin & Quartararo LLP
19	33 West Second Street, P.O. Box 9398 Riverhead, New York 11901
20	(631) 727-2180; (631) 574-1258 fax
21	jpagano@suffolklaw.com
22	For Defendants: IRA M. SAXE, ESQ. Crowell & Moring LLP
23	590 Madison Avenue 20th Floor New York, New York 10022
24	(212) 223-4000; (212) 223-4134 fax isaxe@crowell.com
25	(Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording)

```
2
            Thomas et al v. Txx Services, Inc., et al - 4/19/21
              THE COURT: Good morning, everybody, this is
 1
    Magistrate Judge Locke. Kristin, let's call the case.
 2
 3
              LAW CLERK: Calling case 13-cv-2789, Thomas et al v.
 4
    Txx Services Inc. et all. Counsels, please state your
 5
    appearances for the record.
              MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Maimon Kirschenbaum, for plaintiff.
 6
 7
    Good morning, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Good morning.
 8
 9
              MS. SCHULMAN: Denise Schulman, for plaintiff.
10
              MR. PAGANO: JEFFREY PAGANO, on behalf of the
    defendants.
11
12
              MR. SAXE: Good morning, Your Honor, Ira Saxe, also
13
    for defendants.
14
              THE COURT: Is that everybody?
15
              MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Yes.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, it's been a long
17
           This is for a status conference. The motion for summary
    time.
18
    judgment has been decided. What's next?
19
              MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Your Honor, this is Maimon
20
    Kirschenbaum. I think what's next, as far as I understand is we
21
    need to know if defendants are going to be moving to decertify
22
    the collective, or if they want to go to trial on a collective.
23
              THE COURT: That's my recollection as well. Mr.
24
    Pagano?
25
              MR. PAGANO: Your Honor, I think we should take some
```

```
3
            Thomas et al v. Txx Services, Inc., et al -4/19/21
    time for mediation. I know that sounds odd coming from me in
 1
 2
    this case, but there might an opportunity and I would not want
 3
    to spend the money and time. We spend it already, but I'd
 4
    rather not add more if there's that opportunity. I have
 5
    recently had a successful one which blew my mind, but
 6
    nonetheless, I would ask the Court to give us sufficient time to
 7
    engage in that process.
 8
              THE COURT: Mr. Pagano?
 9
              MR. PAGANO: Did Your Honor just ask me?
10
              THE COURT: Yes. It's not my process to make people
11
    mediate if they don't want.
12
              MR. PAGANO: I'm amendable to mediation.
13
    think we should figure out how we're going to do this as a
14
    collective or on an individual basis and sort of keep the ball
15
    moving because this case is like eight years old.
16
              THE COURT: We're talking about mediation of the
17
    collective. Right now, it's certified. So, it would be a
18
    resolution of the collective action?
19
              THE COURT: Right.
20
              MR. PAGANO: It would be a resolution of the
21
    collective action, yes.
22
              THE COURT: Right. So, you both said yes to that.
23
    So, given that, Mr. Kirschenbaum, are you interested in
24
    mediation?
25
              MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Yes.
```

```
Thomas et al v. Txx Services, Inc., et al - 4/19/21
                                                                     4
              THE COURT: Okay. What I would like to do is I'll
 1
 2
    give you 90 days to -- Are you talking about a private mediator,
 3
    Court Annexed Mediation, what do you want?
 4
              MR. KIRSHENBAUM: Private.
 5
              THE COURT: Mr. Pagano?
 6
              MR. PAGANO: I have no objection to that.
 7
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
              MR. KIRSHENBAUM: I mean, we're talking about a big
 8
 9
           I think we should have a mediator that we --
    case,
10
              THE COURT:
                          I'm not pushing you in any direction on
11
    this.
           I'm just trying to figure out how we can get to a point
12
    where if you're going to mediate, it's going to be a brass-
13
    knuckles good faith mediation that you're both interested in.
14
    And if you're both amenable to private mediation and getting it
15
    done in the next 90 days, I'll give you an order to that effect.
16
              MR. PAGANO: Your Honor --
17
                                That's good for plaintiff.
              MR. KIRSHENBAUM:
18
              MR. PAGANO: Your Honor, from defendant's perspective,
19
    we can't get it done in 90 days because of the document
20
    requirements. You've got to remember, we don't have timesheets,
21
    we have run sheets. So, what we have to do is analyze and we'll
22
    give to opposing counsel what that data shows. And that data
23
    will be basically what liability there is, if any, plaintiff by
24
    plaintiff. And from my experience so far, there's a group that
25
    has been doing it for Uber in the Uber litigation, and they
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 Thomas et al v. Txx Services, Inc., et al -4/19/21apparently have this expertise on it. And since, as you can see from the summary judgment, the facts, meaning, what we have are the run sheets, we have the hand-held scanners, and we're trying to coordinate those technologies. So, what I would rather do is, take the 90 days, and focus in on that, and then get with Maimon and say, okay, here's what we have. Because we're talking about thousands, if not millions of documents over this period of years. THE COURT: And this is eight years into the litigation, and you don't have this information at your fingertips? MR. PAGANO: One more thing, Your Honor. We're not talking about a ride that has a beginning and an end that's recorded, we're talking about people whose time is not that closely tracked. We've done plenty of discovery to try and determine the amount of hours that people worked. I think we took like ten depositions and one of the topics that was probed at great lengths was the amount of hours that people were closed from testimony perspective and from whatever documentation was available. MR. KIRSHENBAUM: If I might respond, Your Honor, with all due respect, that's inaccurate. THE COURT: Well, look, before we get into this too deeply, and I'll let you respond, if we can't get on the same page with this, no problem, we'll get to the motion to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Thomas et al v. Txx Services, Inc., et al -4/19/21
                                                               6
decertify. That's fine. I am with you one way or the other on
this mediation. And if the plaintiffs, after eight years, are
ready to move or want to keep moving, they want summary
judgment, I get it. Mr. Kirschenbaum, do you want to do this?
          MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: I don't have a problem with just
getting that additional information, and doing it in 90 days,
and mediating afterwards, but if he's going to make this motion
to decertify, I want to get it out of the way at this point.
          THE COURT:
                     Wait, wait, wait. You want to do the
motion before or after the mediation? What are you saying?
          MR. KIRSHENBAUM: What I'm saying is, if he can't
attend the mediation in 90 days, then I don't want to put
anything on hold for the mediation. I'll mediate concurrently,
but I don't want to put the whole litigation on hold again.
          MR. PAGANO: Your Honor, with all respect, here's the
question though, the answer to the decertification implicates
the legitimacy of the mediation because you can't have one.
will assume for purposes of the mediation that there is not
going to be a motion for decertification. That's what I'm
willing to do.
          THE COURT: You want to settle the case globally.
                                                             The
mediation will settle the case globally, all of it.
          MR. PAGANO: Yes.
          THE COURT: I understand.
          MR. PAGANO: That's the goal.
```

```
7
            Thomas et al v. Txx Services, Inc., et al -4/19/21
 1
              THE COURT: So, you're asking for more than 90 days,
 2
    and Mr. Kirschenbaum says he doesn't want to wait 90 days, if
 3
    I'm understanding you correctly, Mr. Kirschenbaum. And that's
 4
           I just don't want to issue a ruling before I make sure
 5
    I'm understanding you correctly.
 6
              MR. KIRSHENBAUM: That's right, I don't want to wait
 7
    more than 90 days. I would point out and my colleague, Ms.
 8
    Schulman could elaborate on this more. There are, what's called
 9
    "Datatrack reports" that the defendants refused that actually
10
    track the information that Mr. Pagano is talking about. Now, if
11
    he wants to do something more elaborate, that's fine from a
12
    trial perspective, but like I said, just to be 100 percent
13
    clear, I don't want to push it out more than 90 days.
14
              THE COURT: That's fine.
15
              MR. KIRSHENBAUM: If we can't mediate it in the next
16
    90 days, we don't want to put the litigation on hold.
17
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
              MR. PAGANO: Maimon, what I'm referring to is the time
18
19
    before Datatrack. That's our problem.
20
              MS. SCHULMAN: The Datatrack record covered the whole
21
    period. You produced them going all the way back to the
22
    beginning of the liability period.
23
              MR. PAGANO: Well, apparently, it doesn't match up
24
    with the documents that are necessary to come up with the actual
25
    hours.
```

```
8
            Thomas et al v. Txx Services, Inc., et al - 4/19/21
              THE COURT: Okay. I've heard enough. I've heard
 1
 2
    enough. You don't have to have a conversation between
 3
    yourselves with me on the line.
 4
              MR. PAGANO: I'm sorry.
 5
              THE COURT: I'm way backed up today, not through any
 6
    fault of yours at all. And I won't get into the details on
 7
    that, but it sounds like the answer is, if you want the 90 days,
 8
    Mr. Pagano, you got it. If it's not enough, let's set a
9
    briefing schedule on decertification or talk about a final
10
    pretrial order. It's your choice.
              MR. PAGANO: Well, if we want to go forward with the
11
12
    mediation, I guess we'll have to do it in the next 90 days.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Kirschenbaum, are you on board
14
    with that?
              MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: I am.
15
16
              THE COURT: Okay. So, I'm going to give you a
17
    conference date more than 90 days out. Hopefully, it's just a
18
    little more than 90 days out, and we'll check in and you'll tell
19
    me whether it's settled or not, and what we need to do next.
20
    Kristin, what do we have in 90 days?
21
              MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Your Honor, can I just throw
22
    something out?
23
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
24
              MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: If it doesn't settle, I think at
25
    the status update, we should give Your Honor a briefing schedule
```

9 Thomas et al v. Txx Services, Inc., et al - 4/19/21 for a motion for decertification. If that's okay with Your 1 2 Honor. 3 THE COURT: You can do that, otherwise, I'm going to 4 So, either way. You can do it beforehand, or we'll 5 set one at the conference. 6 MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Okav. 7 THE COURT: I wouldn't think that would take very 8 long, but that's what I would envision. 9 Sure, judge. How about July 19th at 11:00 LAW CLERK: 10 a.m.? Okay. July 19th at 11:00 a.m. and that 11 THE COURT: 12 will be a phone call. Is everybody available? 13 That's a Monday, I believe. MR. PAGANO: 14 THE COURT: Okay. We'll open on Monday, we'll speak 15 Have a day, everybody. 16 ALL COUNSEL: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 - 000 -18 CERTIFICATION 19 I, Rochelle V. Grant, approved transcriber, certify 20 that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official 21 electronic sound recording of the proceedings in this matter, 22 Case 2:13-cv-02789, held on 4/19/21. 23 December 3, 2021 AA EXPRESS TRANSCRIPTS 24 195 Willoughby Avenue Brooklyn, New York 11205 (888) 456-9716 25 aaexpress@court-transcripts.net